Syllabus–Health Policy and Management Modules 108, 109 and 110

Modules: 102, 103 & 104	Introduction to Health Policy and Management
Coordinators	Prof. Suzanne Babich Associate Dean and Professor of Global Health Acting Chair, Department of Global Health Richard M. Fairbanks School of Public Health Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, USA <u>smbabich@iu.edu</u> +1 919-360-8717 (cell phone and WhatsApp)
Dates	January 16-19, 2023 January 23-26, 2023 February 13-16, 2023 February 20-23, 2023 March 20-23, 2023 March 27-30, 2023
Credits/ECTS	10,5 ECTS
Location	Room : Grande Salle, EHESP 20 Avenue George Sand 93210 LA PLAINE ST DENIS
Description	This set of modules provides an introduction and framework for understanding the structures, systems, and policies of health care and prevention around the world. The goal is to increase your knowledge and abilities to think critically and analyze health care issues from management and policy perspectives. The major structural and system issues include the "big three," access, quality, and financing, which include forms of social insurance and private insurance. Other system topics relate to mental health, pharmaceuticals, technology, and other timely and often contentious topics of great importance to health and wellbeing around the world. The course prepares learners to confront the underlying values and ethics that drive the politics and economics of health care systems and to understand and apply basic concepts in communication, policy analysis and advocacy in practice settings. The curriculum addresses core competencies in health policy and management and global public health using as a guide the WHO ASPHER competency framework described here: https://www.euro.who.int/

	Module 110 (March):	
	This module examines the burden of mental illness around the world and how health systems cope with the challenge of supporting individuals within the context of culture. We discuss and debate timely topics related to development funding as well as how health systems responded – and why – during the COVID-19 pandemic. The module concludes with team presentations of indepth policy analyses conducted over the course of the year.	
Prerequisites	None	
Course learning objectives	 At the end of the module, you should be able to: Distinguish among various health care payers, providers, organizations and functions Understand past, current, and emerging key issues in health care financing, insurance, delivery, organization, policy, administration, access, and quality in selected countries Apply knowledge of these issues to administrative decision-making and policy development, and do so with a grounding in public health ethics Compare and evaluate the impact of programs and policies on the health of individuals and populations Explore and analyze an important public health issue and recommend a realistic approach to addressing the issue Achieve the previous objective by working effectively in a team of fellow students Cogently discuss and debate complex, current and future public health and health services issues 	
Structure	Content is arranged in modules distributed over six weeks. Modules are designed to be highly interactive, encouraging critical thinking, debate and reflection. Material in each module builds sequentially on the module before it, reinforcing key concepts. At times, the schedule for sessions within modules may be modified to take advantage of the availability of a guest lecturer, field-based activity or other rich learning opportunity that may arise. Most weeks, sessions will devote at least the last half hour of each morning, and the first half hour of each afternoon, to engaged discussion and reflection.	
Resources	Textbook : No textbook is required. Timely and relevant articles will be assigned to supplement in-class lectures, activities and discussions. Recommended (not required): Roberts, Hsiao, Berman and Reich. Getting Health Reform Right : A Guide to Improving Performance and Equity. Oxford University Press. 2008. Deborah Stone. Policy Paradox: The Art of Political Decision Making. Third Edition. WW Norton & Co. 2011. Eugene Bardach. A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving. Fourth Edition. CQ Press. 2011. Website and Readings : Course materials can be found online on the program's REAL website and/or will be distributed in advance via email by individual lecturers and the module coordinator.	
Course requirement	 Group Policy Brief Projects: You will work in assigned groups for the purpose of completing this important part of the course. Each group will conduct an analysis of a problem and associated policy in the context of a specific country. Groups will deliver oral presentations of their analyses at the end of the last module and turn in a written policy brief. Time is set aside during one or more class periods for groups to meet to identify the specific focus of their analysis. Each group prepares a brief (10-15 minutes) oral presentation for delivery in class in March and a policy brief of no more than 10-15 pages (the shorter the better). Many sample formats for policy briefs are available via a simple Web search of "writing a health policy brief." Also see samples at: www.healthaffairs.org/healthpolicybriefs. We will also share examples of previous program cohorts' written policy briefs. 	

	You are expected to use resources from the library, Internet, and course materials in documenting your work. (Include a list of resources, including websites and the date you visited each site as an addendum to your policy brief.) Projects should integrate concepts from course lectures and readings, and from other academic and professional literature. Final papers are due and posted on REAL by Monday morning at 9am France time of the week in which module 110 begins, and must also be submitted by email to smbabich@iu.edu.
	We have also set some interim dates by which components of the project are due to instructors for evaluation. This is to help ensure that groups are making appropriate and timely progress on their projects.
	Policy brief project Problem statement; policy identified (due January 26 5pm CET) Evidence; stakeholder analysis (due February 19 th 5pm CET) Evaluation criteria grid; policy alts (due Feb 26 th 5pm CET) Written brief; oral presentation (due by Sunday March 26 5pm CET)
	We recommend that you use the American Psychological Association's citation style in your paper. The APA style is commonly used in our field. For information about this style, see http://www.lib.unc.edu/instruct/citations/apa/index.html . Other styles are also acceptable ; the key is to be sure your writing style is consistent and clear.
	 Group project grades are based on the following: Logical, appropriate, evidence-based conclusions, analyses, and recommendations in both the presentation and paper (30 percent) Effectiveness of oral presentation (30 percent) Clearly written paper with topics arranged logically. Well designed tables and figures that convey relevant, important information (20 percent) Effective, appropriate application of course materials and other resources in the poster and paper (20 percent)
	Note also that you will complete a questionnaire that assesses your own and your teammates' contributions to the project. All team members will receive the same grade except if it is clear that someone has not participated effectively (attended and contributed to meetings; made timely, helpful contributions; been constructive, etc.). In that case, that individual's grade will be lowered accordingly.
	Reflection Paper/Journal:
	Another assignment is maintenance of an ongoing journal document in which you record your personal reflections or reactions to materials covered in the course. During and after each module, you will write a brief (not more than one single-spaced page) journal entry in a Word document labelled with your name (e.g. BABICH, S_Journal_2022). Journal entries should be reflective and may address such points as what you learned that surprised you, what you agreed or disagreed with, how you might apply what you've read to a work situation, how the information may have influenced your view on the topic, and so on. Journal entries should NOT simply summarize or repeat what the author or lecturer wrote or said.
	Reflection papers are due and posted on REAL on or before Sunday March 20th at 9am France time and must also be submitted by email to <u>smbabich@iu.edu</u> .
	Attendance/participation :
	You are expected to come to class prepared to participate in discussions with faculty, fellow students and guest lecturers. Credit for this part of the course is divided into two aspects: attendance and participation. Scores for attendance are simply based on number of class days attended. Credit for participation is earned by active involvement in discussions with faculty, fellow students and guest lecturers.
Grading and assessment	

	Component	% of Grade	
	Policy brief project Problem statement; policy identified Evidence; stakeholder analysis Evaluation criteria grid; policy alts Wriitten brief; oral presentation	70 total 10 10 10 40	
	Reflection paper	20	
	Attendance/participation	10	
	TOTAL	100% (20 pts)	
	is designed to encourage interaction and d	pared to participate in discussions. The course lebate by students. The quality (not quantity) of ve your final grade up or down one point. Quality and understanding displayed.	
Course policy	You are expected to attend each class. Attenda The obligations of attendance and punctuality conferences, group projects, assessments, ex Regulations http://mph.ehesp.fr EHESP Acade If you are not able to make it to class, you are not the MPH program coordinating team explaining All supporting documents are provided to the en-	v cover every aspect of the course: - lectures, taminations, as described in EHESP Academic mic Regulation Article. 3). required to send an email to the instructor and to your absence prior to the scheduled class date.	
	 is still necessary, an appointment should be scheduled with the instructor. Class time is not to be used to go over material with students who have missed class. Lateness: Students who are more than 10 minutes late may be denied access to a class. Repeated late arrivals may be counted as absences (See http://mph.ehesp.fr EHESP Academic Regulation Article. 3 Attendance & Punctuality) 		
		ail for a given class. Students are entitled to be undertake a reassessment or retake a module,	
	psychological problems, or exceptional personal penalized, as above mentioned. You must dire secretariat before the exam or before the ass justification, the professor or the MPH acader	ate submission of assignments due to illness, I reasons must be justified; otherwise, you will be ectly notify your professor or the MPH academic ignment deadline. Before accepting a student's nic secretariat has the right to request either a a psychologist, or from any other relevant person gulation Article 4 Examinations).	
	Courtesy : <u>All cell phones MUST be turned off</u> Students are required to conduct themselves and class time is not permitted during class time, su	ccording to professional standards, eating during	
Valuing Diversity	oftentimes challenges our own closely-held ide results, however, create a sense of commu environment. This class will follow principles of that support the values of diversity. Diversity including type, variety, uniqueness, duration, pe	atmosphere of inclusion and tolerance, which as, as well as our personal comfort zones. The unity and promote excellence in the learning of inclusion, respect, tolerance, and acceptance includes consideration of: (1) life experiences, personal values, political viewpoints, and intensity; nce," including, among others, age, economic	

	circumstances, ethnic identification, family educational attainment, disability, gender, geographic origin, maturity, race, religion, sexual orientation and social position.
Course Evaluation	EHESP requests that you complete a course evaluation at the end of the school year. Your responses will be anonymous, with feedback provided in the aggregate. Open-ended comments will be shared with instructors, but not identified with individual students. Your participation in course evaluation is an expectation, since providing constructive feedback is a professional obligation. Feedback is critical, moreover, to improving the quality of our courses, as well as for instructor assessment.